

Student's Satisfaction in Learning using MonsoonSIM: Case: Operation Management Course in FEBUI

Kuala Lumpur, 16 May 2024

Lenny Suardi & Juliana Rouli









AACSE

MBA









1. Background







Research Objective

To determine the adoption of game-based learning technology using MonsoonSIM in Operation Management by looking at the factors that influence the student satisfaction







2. Literature Review (1/2)

Technology Adoption Model (TAM)

- A conceptual model to assess how students come to accept and use a technology (Granic and Maranguni ´ c´, 2019).
- Perceived ease to use and perceived usefulness are significant factors affecting the acceptance of learning with technology (Scherer et al., 2019).
- TAM has been researched in various fields, even in Education Technology (Cakır & Solak, 2015; Ramírez-Correa et al., 2015).

Gamification in Education

- Gamification has been on a significant rise since 2014 (Zaric et al., 2017).
- It is defined as applying game design principles in non-gaming contexts (Robson et al., 2015, 2016) to motivate and interact with users (Hassan et al., 2019).
- Gamification in the education sector refers to the utilization of various gaming elements and gaming experiences during learning procedures (Sailer et al., 2017; Alshammari, 2020).





2. Literature Review (2/2)



3. Methodology (1/2)



Figure 1. Research Model



Hypothesis

H1: Gamification quality has a positive impact on perceived ease to use of MonsoonSim

H2: Perceived ease to use of MonsoonSim has a positive impact on perceived usefulness of MonsoonSIM

H3: Perceived ease to use of MonsoonSIM has a positive impact on Student Satisfaction

H4: Perceived usefulness of MonsoonSIM has a positive impact on Student Satisfaction



3. Methodology (2/2)









4. Findings: Respondent Profile









4. Findings: Outer Model (1/2)

Variable(s)	Indicator	Outer Loadings	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)	Validity	Composite Reliability	Cronbach's Alpha	Reliability	Convergent Validity:
Comification	GQ1	0.879		Valid			Reliable	Outer Loading >0.6
Quality	GQ2	0.791	0.704	Valid	0.877	0.790	Reliable	AVE >0.5
Quality	GQ3	0.845		Valid			Reliable	
	PEU1	0.912		Valid			Reliable	
Perceived Ease to	PEU2	0.772	0 779	Valid	0.933	0.904	Reliable	
Use	PEU3	0.932	0.778	Valid			Reliable	
	PEU4	0.903		Valid			Reliable	Reliability:
	PU1	0.907		Valid			Reliable	Cronbach's >0.7
Perceived	PU2	0.863	0 7 9 7	Valid	0.075	0.007	Reliable	CR >0.7
Usefulness	PU3	0.872	0.765	Valid	0.955	0.907	Reliable	
	PU4	0.897		Valid			Reliable	
	SS1	0.900		Valid			Reliable	
	SS2	0.907		Valid			Reliable	
Student	SS3	0.874	0.752	Valid	0.0/0	0.07/	Reliable	
Satisfaction	SS4	0.871	0.752	Valid	0.948	0.954	Reliable	
	SS5	0.812		Valid]	1	Reliable	
	SS6	0.836		Valid]		Reliable	

Table 1. Convergent Validity and Reliability





4. Findings: Outer Model (2/2)

-ornell-Lecker Criterion							
	GQ	PEU	ΡU	SS			
GQ	0.839						
PEU	0.830	0.882					
PU	0.617	0.622	0.885				
SS	0.654	0.658	0.827	0.867			

Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio Criterion

	GQ	PEU	PU	SS
GQ				
PEU	0,968			
PU	0,729	0,675		
SS	0,766	0,708	0,895	

Outer Loading

	GQ	PEU	PU	SS		GQ	PEU	PU	SS
GQ1	0.879	0.741	0.543	0.525	PEU1	0.785	0.912	0.576	0.616
GQ2	0.791	0.599	0.510	0.559	PEU2	0.579	0.772	0.383	0.407
GQ3	0.845	0.737	0.504	0.569	PEU3	0.785	0.932	0.596	0.596
SS1	0.580	0.517	0.823	0.900	PEU4	0.754	0.903	0.605	0.665
SS2	0.557	0.577	0.756	0.907	PU1	0.555	0.561	0.907	0.709
SS3	0.457	0.533	0.681	0.874	PU2	0.514	0.493	0.863	0.737
SS4	0.523	0.602	0.716	0.871	PU3	0.528	0.556	0.872	0.713
SS5	0.626	0.597	0.629	0.812	PU4	0.585	0.590	0.897	0.767
SS6	0.665	0.610	0.683	0.836					

Table 2. Discriminant Validity

Outer loading of indicator within a construct is higher than other constructs

Fornell-Lecker : Diagonal > Correlation Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio <1





4. Findings: Inner Model

Table 3. Coefficients of Determinants

	R Square	R Square Adjusted	Conclusion
Perceived Ease to Use	0.689	0.686	Moderate
Perceived Usefulness	0.387	0.381	Moderate to Low
Student Satisfaction	0.718	0.712	Moderate to High

Table 4. Hypothesis Evaluation

	Original Sample	Sample Mean	Standard Deviation	T Statistics	P Values	Conclusion
Gamification Quality -> Perceived Ease to Use	0.830	0.831	0.039	21.110	0.000	Significant
Perceived Ease to Use -> Perceived Usefulness	0.622	0.623	0.067	9.320	0.000	Significant
Perceived Ease to Use -> Student Satisfaction	0.234	0.234	0.090	2.589	0.005	Significant
Perceived Usefulness -> Student Satisfaction	0.682	0.682	0.074	9.252	0.000	Significant





4. Findings: Discussion

- Empirical data shows the R-square value of Student Satisfaction is 71,2% indicating that the developed model has a high capability to explain the constructs built between Student Satisfaction
- The better quality of gamification, the easier it is to use MonsoonSIM gamification
- Both Perceived to Ease to Use of MonsoonSIM and Perceived Usefulness has a positive impact on Student Satisfaction with Perceived Usefulness has the highest impact for students.
- What factors made it happened? When students feel that the menus are easy to use, can be accessed anywhere, the result can increase the essential benefits of learning Operation Management using MonsoonSIM and increase student satisfaction



5. Conclusion









Samples of Student's Feedback

- "While the learning process was super insightful, it was also fun"
- "It is a fun way to study operation management, it made me have a feel how it is to be an operation manager"
- "By using MonsoonSim in the lecturing session, I could understand the lecture material of Operation Management better"
- "In the MonsoonSim session, I found the experience to be incredibly immersive and enjoyable. Our group discussions on strategic decisions like what products to purchase for our business store and when to restock were particularly insightful. It truly felt like we were navigating the challenges of real-world business operations. The simulation sparked thought-provoking conversations and encouraged us to think critically about our virtual business's success. Overall, it was a fantastic learning experience that left me feeling more prepared for real-world entrepreneurial endeavors"



UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY ACCULTY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS

Acknowledgement

- Center for Education and Learning in Economics and Business (CELEB) Faculty of Economics and Business Universitas Indonesia
- MonsoonSIM



THANK YOU



FACULTY OF ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS







Appendixes

Table 1 Variables Operationalization

No	Variable	Indicators	Scale	Source
1.	Gamification Quality (GQ)	I find it easy to get MonsoonSIM to do what I want (GQ1)		
		with (GQ2)	Likert 1-5	Ojo, 2017
		Learning MonsoonSIM is easy for me (GO3)		
2.	Perceived Ease of Use (PEU)	My interaction with MonsoonSIM		
		is clear and understandable (PEU1)		
		Interaction with MonsoonSIM does		
		not require a lot of my mental effort (PEU2)		
		I find MonsoonSIM to be easy to use (PEU3)	Likert 1-5	Venkatesh 8 Bala, 2008
		I find it easy to get MonsoonSIM to do what I want it to do (PEU4)		
3. Per	Perceived Usefulness (PU)	Using MonsoonSIM improves my		
		performance in my job (PU1)		
		Using the Using MonsoonSIM in my	1	
		job increases my productivity in my		
		job increases my productivity (PU2)	Likert 1-5	Venkatesh 8
		Using MonsoonSIM enhances my	1	Bala, 2008
		effectiveness in my job (PU3)		
		I find MonsoonSIM to be useful in	1	
		my job (PU4)		
4.	Student Satisfaction (SS)	By using MonsoonSIM, I can make		
		the precise information for		
		performing my job-related tasks		
		(SS1)		
		By using MonsoonSIM, my		
		information needs can be met (SS2)	Likert 1-5	Kim et al.,
		By using MonsoonSIM, sufficient		2007
		information for performing my job-		
		related tasks can be provided (SS3)		
		By using MonsoonSIM, accurate		
		information for performing my job-		
		related tasks can be provided (SS4)		





Figure 1: Hypothesis testing





19